



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/583,789

06/21/2006

Kimmo Jyrinki

KOL.217.WUS

6884

76385 7590 07/27/2009

Hollingsworth & Funk, LLC  
8009 34th Avenue South  
Suite 125  
Minneapolis, MN 54425

EXAMINER

ZAHR, ASHRAF A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2175

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/27/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/583,789	<b>Applicant(s)</b> JYRINKI, KIMMO	
	<b>Examiner</b> ASHRAF ZAHR	<b>Art Unit</b> 2175	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 May 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,4,5,8-10,13,14 and 17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 4-5, 8-10, 13-14, 17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |                                                                                      |                                                                   |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                     | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____                                                          | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This is the final action for application 10/583789. Claims 1, 4-5, 8-10, 13-14, 17 are pending in this application.

### ***Response to Arguments***

2. Applicant argues, “Mansikkaniemi does not mention anything about calendar profiles defining a unique view to calendar content on the basis of the calendar notes associated with a profile as claimed. Rather, Mansikkaniemi only describes a conventional procedure of inputting certain new calendar events to certain calendars (individual or family). The claimed calendar profiles operate similar to user profiles (see, e.g., paragraphs [0017] and [0024]) such that a calendar (e.g., shared family calendar) does not correspond to the claimed profile. Mansikkaniemi only teaches that certain new events can be designated to be shown on the family calendar or on an individual's calendar but does not teach that a single calendar note will provide a unique (i. e. different) view to the calendar content when a selected calendar profile changes”.

Individual or Family calendars are profiles that define a unique view of calendar. Therefore, the examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant.

3. Applicant also argues, “Mansikkaniemi also does not disclose the claim limitations directed to selecting in the terminal at least one calendar profile for generating calendar content to be shared.”

A user can view either the family calendar or the individual calendar. In order to view one, it must be selected by the user. Therefore, the examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant.

4. Applicant also argues, “In addition, Mansikkaniemi does not teach or suggest establishing a connection between the terminal and at least one user terminal for transmitting or loading the calendar content to be shared, as claimed. In Mansikkaniemi, the calendar events are transmitted to a central server which stores both individual and family calendars. Events are added or modified by using a terminal of the system authorizing the access to family calendar services (paragraph [0014]). However, Mansikkaniemi does not teach that the calendar content is shared directly between two user terminals. Without a presentation of correspondence to each of the claimed limitations, the § 102(b) rejection is improper”.

There is nothing in the claim language suggesting direct connections, only a connection. This is met by the reference. Therefore, the examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant.

5. Applicant also argues, “With particular respect to the rejection of dependent Claims 4 and 8, Applicant traverses because Mansikkaniemi has not been shown to teach or suggest each of the claimed limitations. The cited portions of Mansikkaniemi do not teach that shared content is generated based on a remote device, user group, purpose of use or time of day. Rather, Mansikkaniemi teaches that shared content is

Art Unit: 2175

generated by a user. The fact that the content is listed on a family calendar or that the content may be displayed using a day or month view fails to correspond to the claimed generation of the content. Without correspondence to each of the claimed limitations, the § 102(b) rejection is improper, and Applicant accordingly requests that the rejection be withdrawn”.

A user can view either the family calendar or the individual calendar. This is content generated based on a group. Therefore, the examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1, 4-5, 8-10, 13-14, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mansikkaniemi et al., US 2002/0063732 (Hereinafter, Mansikkaniemi).

**Regarding Claim 1**, Mansikkaniemi discloses, “a method comprising: associating one or more calendar notes with calendar profiles of the terminal each calendar profile defining a unique view to calendar content on the basis of the calendar notes associated with the calendar profile” (Mansikkaniemi, ¶0048).

Art Unit: 2175

Mansikkaniemi also discloses, “selecting in the terminal at least one calendar profile for generating calendar content to be shared”. Specifically, any member of the family can look at and add to the family calendar and also look at and add to their private calendar, but cannot look at and add to another’s calendar (Mansikkaniemi, ¶0048).

Mansikkaniemi also discloses, “generating the calendar content to be shared on the basis of at least one selected calendar profile and the one or more calendar notes associated with it by the terminal”. Specifically, any member of the family can look at and add to the family calendar and also look at and add to their private calendar, but cannot look at and add to another’s calendar (Mansikkaniemi, ¶0048).

Mansikkaniemi also discloses, “establishing a connection between the terminal and at least one user terminal for transmitting or loading the calendar content to be shared to the at least one user terminal”. Specifically, the authenticated group member may change the group calendar content according to given command in such a way, that other authorized members of the same group get the latest group calendar information from the server (Mansikkaniemi, ¶0040).

**Regarding Claim 4,** Mansikkaniemi also discloses, “the method as claimed in claim 1, further comprising generating the calendar content to be shared as defined by the selected user profile based on a remote device, user group, purpose of use or time of day”. Specifically, any member of the family can look at and add to the family calendar and also look at and add to their private calendar, but cannot look at and add

Art Unit: 2175

to another's calendar (Mansikkaniemi, ¶0048). There also is day view or a month arrangement (Mansikkaniemi, ¶0044).

**Regarding Claims 5, 8**, applicant claims a system to perform the method of claims 1, 4. These claims are substantially similar to claims 1, 4 and are therefore rejected based upon the same reasoning used to reject claims 1, 4.

**Regarding Claim 9**, Mansikkaniemi also discloses, "the communications system as claimed in claim 5, wherein the second user terminal is a media device, computer, PDA (Personal Digital Assistant) device or mobile station". Specifically, Mansikkaniemi discloses a computer and wireless terminals Mansikkaniemi, ¶0023-24).

**Regarding Claims 10**, applicant claims a terminal that performs the method of claim 1. These claims are substantially similar to claim 1 and are therefore rejected based upon the same reasoning used to reject claim 1.

**Regarding Claim 12**, Mansikkaniemi also discloses, "the terminal as claimed in claim 10, wherein the controller is configured to grant the remote device an access right to the calendar content to be shared". Specifically, the authenticated group member may change the group calendar content according to given command in such a way, that other authorized members of the same group get the latest group calendar information from the server (Mansikkaniemi, ¶0040).

**Regarding Claim 13**, this claim is substantially similar to claim 9 and is therefore rejected based upon the same reasoning used to reject claim 9.

**Regarding Claim 14**, this claim is substantially similar to claim 1 and is therefore rejected based upon the same reasoning used to reject claim 1.

**Regarding Claim 17**, Mansikkaniemi also discloses, “the device of claim 10, wherein the device comprises a controller” (Mansikkaniemi, ¶0036).

### ***Conclusion***

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.



Art Unit: 2175

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ASHRAF ZAHR whose telephone number is (571)270-1973. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 am - 6 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Bashore can be reached on (571)272-4088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AAZ 7/18/09

/Ting Zhou/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2173